



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2015

**Children with mental versus physical health problems: differences in
perceived disease severity, health care service utilization and parental health
literacy**

Dey, Michelle ; Wang, Jen ; Jorm, Anthony Francis ; Mohler-Kuo, Meichun

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-014-0944-7>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-98307>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Dey, Michelle; Wang, Jen; Jorm, Anthony Francis; Mohler-Kuo, Meichun (2015). Children with mental versus physical health problems: differences in perceived disease severity, health care service utilization and parental health literacy. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 50(3):407-418.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-014-0944-7>

Children with mental versus physical health problems:

Differences in perceived disease severity, health care service utilization
and parental health literacy

Authors and affiliations

Michelle Dey^{1,2}, Jen Wang², Anthony Francis Jorm¹, Meichun Mohler-Kuo²

1 Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, 207 Bouverie Street,
Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia

2 Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zurich,
Switzerland

Corresponding author:

Michelle Dey

Centre for Mental Health

Melbourne School of Population and Global Health

University of Melbourne

207 Bouverie Street

Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia

Email: michelle.dey@unimelb.edu.au

Telephone: +61 3 90357620

Fax: +61 3 93476929

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To compare children with mental and physical health problems regarding i) perceived disease severity; ii) the impact of their condition on their families; iii) their utilization of health care services (including satisfaction with care); and iv) parents' health literacy about their child's condition and its treatment. Furthermore, we examined whether parents' health literacy differs between types of mental health condition.

Methods: Parental reports about their 9 to 14 year old children with mental ($n=785$) or physical health problems ($n=475$) were analysed from the population-based National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs in Switzerland.

Results: Mental health problems were perceived as being more severe ($p<.001$) and exerting a larger impact upon the family (e.g., financial impact) than physical health problems. Furthermore, fewer parents of children with a mental health problem mentioned having a particular person or place to contact if they needed information or advice regarding the child's condition ($p=.004$) and were satisfied with the health care services their child received ($p<.001$). The odds of low health literacy was higher among parents with children suffering from mental health problems versus parents of children with physical health problems (OR in the adjusted model=1.92; 95% CI = 1.47-2.50; $p<.001$); this finding held generally for mental health problem (although only a trend was observable for internalizing problems).

Conclusions: The large impact of children's mental health conditions on themselves and their families might be reduced by adapting the provision of health care and by increasing parents' health literacy.

Key words: health literacy; mental disorders; health service utilization; parents; child health

INTRODUCTION

Even though it is often assumed that youth is characterized by excellent health, it has been estimated that (at least) 10-12% suffer from a chronic health condition [1,2]. Mental health problems are, among other conditions, prevalent in this age group due to the early onset of many disorders [3-7]. Such problems also account for a large proportion of the disease burden in young people [7,8], compromise various quality of life domains [9] and exert an additional impact upon parents (e.g., experiencing stigma or self-blame) [10-13].

The pronounced impact of a young person's mental health problems on him/herself and his/her family could be reduced through effective interventions [3,4,7]. However, it has been shown that only a small proportion of young people with an impairing mental health condition receive specialized mental health treatment [3,6,10,14,15]. Therefore, it appears that the gap between the need for and actual use of appropriate health care is larger for mental than for physical health problems.

Seeking and providing appropriate help for young people might, at least when the person is still very young [3], be influenced by the *health literacy* of parents, which has been defined as “*the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions*” [16]. Health literacy for mental health problems—aka mental health literacy [17]—has been shown to be limited in general population adults [18-22] and youth [23-33], whereby many people fail to recognize and correctly label common psychiatric disorders and are limited in their knowledge about how to take appropriate action for themselves and others. These findings have particular relevance for caregivers of children with mental health problems.

The following research gaps regarding mental health problems of young people persist. First, most studies (except those assessing the burden of disease) have targeted either mental or physical health problems, even though a comparison between the two groups could help to prioritize the health goals of a population. Second, studies that have assessed knowledge about a mental health condition and its

treatment largely were based upon vignettes portraying people with a mental disorder (i.e., hypothetical scenarios), rarely focusing on those actually affected by the condition, such as parents (e.g. [26,27,34-37]). Third, a description of health services utilization among young children (under the age of 15 years) living in Switzerland is largely lacking. Lastly, the impact of a young person's mental health problems on the family was often not considered [10].

To address these research gaps, the current article compares children (aged 9 to 14) with mental and physical health problems in terms of i) perceived disease severity; ii) impact of their condition on parents/families; iii) utilization of health care services (including not receiving needed care and satisfaction with care); and iv) parents' health literacy about the child's condition and its treatment. Since we were particularly interested in mental health conditions, we further examined whether the health literacy of parents differed as a function of different mental health conditions.

METHODS

Procedures

Data from the National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs in Switzerland (conducted in 2010/2011) were used. Its protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Canton of Zurich. Demographic information for 16496 children ages 9 to 14 years and their parents was obtained from municipalities and cantons (the sampling procedure has been described elsewhere [38]). Children under 15 years were chosen, as most other large-scale health surveys in Switzerland have targeted respondents age 15 years or older. The lower cut-off age of nine years was applied so that children themselves were able to fill out a questionnaire in a subsequent part of the study (data not used for the present article, but presented elsewhere; [39,40]).

The main aim of the survey was to screen for children with special health care needs. When possible (i.e., if telephone numbers were found and parents could be reached by telephone), computer-assisted telephone interviews were conducted with the parents. Written questionnaires were sent to all parents who could not be reached by telephone [41]. It was emphasized that participation was voluntary.

Altogether, 10,830 parents responded to the survey (response rate=65.7%), and their children were

classified as either ‘children with special health care needs’ (CSHCN; $n=1492$; 13.8%), ‘children without special health care needs’ (controls; $n=9294$; 85.8%) or ‘not classifiable due to missing data’ ($n=44$; 0.4%).

For the current analyses, only CSHCN whose parents had been reached by telephone were included, because only these proxies answered the questions used in these analyses. Of these 1360 proxies, 100 were excluded because of missing data. Hence, the final analytic sample consisted of 1260 CSHCN, which were further subdivided into ‘CSHCN with mental health problems’ ($n=785$; 62.3%) vs. ‘CSHCN with physical health problems’ ($n=475$; 37.7%). The 785 CSHCN with mental health problems were further divided into children with ‘attention deficit/hyperactivity’ ($n=299$; 38.1%), ‘learning difficulties’ ($n=203$; 25.9%), ‘conduct problems’ ($n=81$; 10.3%), ‘speech/language problems’ ($n=34$; 4.3%), ‘internalizing problems’ ($n=46$; 5.9%) and ‘other’ ($n=122$; 15.5%).

Variables

Socio-demographic characteristics of the responding parent

Gender (0 ‘mother’ vs. 1 ‘father’) and *parent’s education* were used, because previous research has repeatedly shown that females [23,24,37,42-46] and people with a higher level of education [43,44,46-49] have better health literacy. Parent’s education was coded into 0 ‘low’ (no secondary education, ISCED 1-2), 1 ‘intermediate’ (secondary education, ISCED 3-4) and 2 ‘high’ (tertiary education, ISCED 5-6) [50].

Socio-demographic characteristics of the child

Gender (0 ‘male’ vs. 1 ‘female’), *age*, and *nationality* (0 ‘Swiss’ vs. 1 ‘not Swiss’) were used.

Type of health problem of the child

- *CSHCN with physical vs. mental health problems*: In a first step, children were classified into CSHCN vs. controls, based on the parent-reported five-item CSHCN Screener [51]. According to this measure, a child was classified as having special health care needs if: i) he/she presently experienced *at least* one of five health consequences and ii) this(these) health consequence(s)

was(were) due to a health condition, which had lasted or was expected to last at least 12 months. The following five consequences are assessed by the CSHCN Screener: 1) the need for or use of prescribed medicine (other than vitamins); 2) the need for or use of elevated levels of medical care, mental health or educational services; 3) functional limitations; 4) the need for or use of specialized therapies (e.g., physical, occupational or speech therapy); and 5) the need for or use of treatment or counseling for an emotional, developmental or behavioral problem. In a second step, CSHCN (i.e., those with a positive screening result) were further divided into those with physical versus those with mental health problems. In order to do so, parents of CSHCN were asked to specify the *main health problem* their child has. The ICD-10 [52] was then used as framework to classify these open answers. If the mentioned problem was assignable to Chapter V (mental and behavioral disorders) of the ICD-10 (e.g., if the parent said that the main problem of the child is a conduct disorder), the child was allocated to the group '*CSHCN with mental health problems*' (coded as 1). When the problem was, however, assignable to Chapters I to IV or VI to XIX of the ICD-10 (e.g., asthma), the child was grouped as '*CSHCN with physical health problems*' (coded as 0).

- *Type of mental health problem:* According to parental reports of the main health problem, CSHCN with mental health problems were further subdivided into children with '*attention deficit/hyperactivity*', '*learning difficulties*', '*conduct problems*', '*speech/language problems*', '*internalizing problems*' and '*other*' (including mental health problems with a low prevalence, like children with autism as well as mental health problems that were only described superficially). The category '*internalizing problems*' included children with anxiety (n=31) or depressive problems (n=15). These two conditions were grouped together due to their small numbers.

Health characteristics of the child

- *Severity of the main health problem:* The parents rated the severity of their child's condition on a five-point scale, ranging from 1 'not at all severe' to 5 'very severe'. Three levels of severity were

used for the current article, namely 0 '*not (at all) severe*' (former category 1 and 2), 1 '*moderately severe*' (former category 3), and 2 '*(very) severe*' (former category 4 and 5).

- *Comorbid problems*: Parents indicated whether their child had any other mental or physical conditions besides the described main problem(s). Answers were coded into 0 '*no*' (i.e., no co-morbid problems) or 1 '*yes*' (i.e., at least one co-morbid problem).

Impact of the child's health condition

- *Financial problems*: The respondent specified whether the health condition of his/her child caused financial problems for his/her family (0 '*no*' vs. 1 '*yes*').
- *Additional income needed*: The parents were asked whether they needed additional income to cover their child's health care costs (0 '*no*' vs. 1 '*yes*').
- *Job situation had to be changed*: The respondent was asked whether he himself/she herself or any other family member had to reduce their working hours because of the child's health condition, whereby the following codes were used: 0 '*no*', 1 '*reduce working hours*' and 2 '*give notice*'.
- *Worries*: Parents indicated how much worry or concern the child's condition caused them over the past four weeks. Answers were categorized into 0 '*no worries*', 1 '*some worries*', and 2 '*many worries*'.
- *Limited time available for themselves*: Answers to the question on whether parents felt limited in the time available for their own needs over the past four weeks because of the child's health condition were coded into 0 '*no*' vs. 1 '*yes*'.
- *Interrupted family activities*: The frequency with which family activities (e.g., family meals) were interrupted due to the child's health condition over the past four weeks were coded into 0 '*(almost) never*', 1 '*every now and again*' and 2 '*rather/very often*'.

Utilization of and satisfaction with health services

- *Contact person/institution*: The parents were asked whether there is a place or a specific person that they *usually* consult if they have any questions or need advice regarding the child's health (0 '*yes*' vs. 1 '*no*'). If the parents answered "yes", they were asked to specify the place or specific

person (open-ended question). The answers were summarized into the following categories: 1) *'physician (excluding psychiatrists) / hospital'*, 2) *'psychologist, psychiatrist, psychiatric service'*, 3) *'relatives / friends'*, 4) *'alternative medicine practitioner'*, and 5) *'other / unspecified'*.

- *Need for and receipt of needed care*: The respondents were asked about their child's need for specific health services during the last 12 months (0 'no' 1 'yes'). If such a need was indicated, a follow-up question asked whether their child had received the needed care (0 'yes' 1 'no'). The following health services were prompted: 1) *'any specialist (e.g., dermatologist, pulmonologist, psychiatrist)'*; 2) *'physiotherapy, ergo therapy, occupational therapy'*; 3) *'speech therapy'*; 4) *'psychological / psychiatric treatment'* and 5) *'prescribed medication'*.
- *Satisfaction with the health services that the child receives*: Answers to this question were dichotomized into 0 'yes' (*rather to completely satisfied*) and 1 'no' (*rather not to not at all satisfied*).

Health literacy of parents

Health literacy was assessed briefly with two items from the Swiss Health Literacy Survey [53,54] adapted to assess parents' knowledge concerning 1) their child's health problem, and 2) treatment of their child's condition. The answer format ranged from 1 'very poor' to 10 'very good' knowledge. Due to the high correlations between both items ($r=0.70$; $p<.001$) and since they assess the same competence (knowledge about condition and treatment), the two items were averaged into a single health literacy score, which was then dichotomized into 0 *'more knowledgeable'* (scores 8 to 10) and 1 *'less knowledgeable'* (scores 1 to 7). This cut-off was chosen because values between 8 and 10 were, relative to values between 1 and 7, often represented (both in the two health literacy items and in the averaged score) and presumably indicated a high confidence of parents in their knowledge.

Statistical Analysis

Socio-demographic and health characteristics (severity and comorbidity) of CSHCN with mental vs. physical health problems were compared using chi-square analysis (categorical variables) and t-tests

(continuous variables). Chi-square analysis was also used to examine associations between the child's health status (physical vs. mental health problems) on one hand and the impact of the child's condition, as well as utilization of and satisfaction with health services on the other. Logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify predictors associated with being less knowledgeable about the child's condition and its treatment (i.e., having poorer health literacy). The following predictors were included: 1) the respondent's gender and 2) education; 3) the child's type of health problem (Model 1: physical vs. mental problems; Model 2: physical vs. various types of mental health problems); 4) the severity of the child's health problem; 5) the existence of a contact person or institution that parents can *usually* consult if they have any questions or need advice regarding the child's health; 6) not receiving needed care; and 7) satisfaction with the health services that their child receives. The predictor 'not receiving needed care' was a summary score: children who did not receive *any* of the prompted health services (see above) were coded as *1*, whereas all others were coded as *0*. Crude odds ratios (OR) were calculated for the main predictor (type of health problem of the child). Furthermore, adjusted odds ratios (AOR) were calculated when all predictors were included in the model.

RESULTS

Reported results are significant unless otherwise stated in the text.

Socio-demographic and health characteristics

Table 1 summarizes the socio-demographic characteristics of the total sample of parents and children, as well as characteristics of the CSHCN sub-samples with mental vs. physical health problems. Furthermore, the children's health characteristics are shown. Respondents were more likely to be mothers than fathers (84.3% vs. 15.7%) and the percentage of mothers was higher among CSHCN with mental than CSHCN with physical health problems (86.2% vs. 81.1%). Despite an overrepresentation of mothers, the terms 'respondents' and 'parents' are subsequently used to refer to both mothers and fathers. Most respondents had completed secondary (61.9%) or tertiary (31.9%) levels of education.

CSHCN with mental versus physical health problems differed with respect to *gender* (more males among CSHCN with mental health problems), *severity of the health condition* (mental health problems were described as being more severe) and *comorbid problems* (more often reported for CSHCN with mental health problems).

Insert Table 1 about here

Impact of the child's health condition

More parents of CSHCN with mental health problems reported financial problems due to their child's condition and needed additional income to cover the health costs of their child (Table 2). Furthermore, more parents of CSHCN with mental health problems experienced some or many worries related to their child's condition, had limited time available for their own needs, and reported that family activities were at least every now and again interrupted due to their child's health condition. As for job situation, more parents of CSHCN with physical health problems or another family member had to give notice due to their child's health condition, whereas more parents of CSHCN with mental health problems had to reduce their work hours.

Insert Table 2 about here

Utilization of and satisfaction with health care services

Findings on utilization of and satisfaction with health care are presented in Table 3. Parents of CSHCN with physical health problems were more likely to have a place or a specific person they *usually* consult if they have any questions or need advice regarding their child's health. Among parents who indicated that such a personal contact point exists, 'physicians/hospitals' were mentioned by more parents of CSHCN with physical health problems, whereas 'psychologist/psychiatrist/psychological services' and 'teacher/specialist pedagogues' were mentioned by more parents of CSHCN with mental health problems. However, even among parents of CSHCN with mental health problems, 'physicians / hospitals' were the most common personal contact/institution.

Some differences also were evident for parent-reported *need* for specific health services. While more CSHCN with mental health problems needed ‘speech therapy’ and ‘psychological/psychiatric treatment’, more CSHCN with physical health problems required ‘specialized therapy’ and ‘prescribed medication’. As for the question of whether a child *received needed care*, only one significant group difference was identified: CSHCN with mental health problems were more likely not to receive needed ‘physiotherapy, ergo therapy, occupational therapy’. Not receiving needed care varied between 0.7% and 13.8% for CSHCN with mental health problems and 0.3% and 16.4% for CSHCN with physical health problems, depending on the particular health service (see Table 3).

Insert Table 3 about here

Health literacy of parents

The results of the logistic regression models are shown in Table 4. *Unadjusted analyses* revealed that parents of CSHCN with mental health problems were more likely to have poorer health literacy than parents of CSHCN with physical health problems. This pattern persisted for all mental health conditions. However, for internalizing problems only a trend was observable ($p = .076$). The finding for these predictors remained similar in the *adjusted analyses*. Again, only a trend was observable for internalizing problems ($p = .097$). Regarding various types of mental health problems, it stands out that the OR was largest for speech/language problems. Beside these predictors, not receiving needed care was associated with poorer health literacy. Furthermore, fathers and people with a low (vs. high) level of education were more likely to report poorer health literacy.

Insert Table 4 about here

DISCUSSION

In this population-based Swiss survey, mental health problems among children were perceived as being more severe and as exerting a greater impact upon families than physical health problems.

Furthermore, fewer parents of CSHCN with mental health problems mentioned having a particular person or place to contact if they needed information or advice regarding their child's condition and were satisfied with the health services that their child received. However, there were almost no differences between the two conditions in terms of receiving needed care. Health literacy was poorer among parents of CSHCN with mental versus a physical health problem. This finding held for all mental health conditions (although only a trend was observable for internalizing problems).

Child's health characteristics

Based upon the present results, it is conceivable that mental health conditions were, relative to physical health problems, perceived as being more *severe* because i) they were more often accompanied by co-morbid problems (according to the parent's rating); ii) they had a larger impact on family life; and/or iii) parents were less literate regarding such conditions (the resulting uncertainty might have increased the parent's severity rating). The fact that mental health was worse among parents of children with mental relative to physical health problems (results not shown) might have also been a contributing factor, since caregivers with worse mental health were more likely to perceive their child's problem as more severe. The finding that mental health problems were relatively more severe is also in line with the large burden of disease [7,8] as well as the compromised quality of life associated with such conditions [9]. Furthermore, stigma and self-blame associated with mental disorders [10-13] may lead parents to seek help only when the situation becomes severe. Lastly, a higher sensitivity of the CSHCN Screener for physical rather than mental health problems must be considered—i.e., it is possible that only relatively severe mental health conditions, but also relatively milder physical health problems screened positive. This possible explanation needs to be elaborated in upcoming studies.

Impact of the child's health condition

Relative to physical health conditions, mental health problems exerted a larger financial impact (i.e., more parents reported financial problems due to their child's health condition and a need for additional income to cover associated health care costs). This result was most likely due to the greater severity of

mental relative to physical health problems in the present study, which might have led to greater health care service utilization in the former subgroup [55], and consequently to a larger financial impact of such conditions. In other words, the financial impact of physical health problems might have been underestimated, because of an underrepresentation of children with severe physical health problems (e.g., cancer) that require (cost)-intensive care. Furthermore, it seems that some parents of CSHCN with mental health problems were more likely to have sought health care for their child that was not covered by health insurance (results not shown).

The greater severity of mental health problems might also have contributed to the finding that these conditions worried parents more. Lastly, more parents of CSHCN with mental health problems felt limited in the time available for themselves (possibly due to the higher demand of supporting their child; e.g. regarding homework) and reported interrupted family activities (this was found for both externalizing *and* internalizing problems; results not shown).

Health service utilization and satisfaction with care

As mentioned above, parents of CSHCN with a mental health problem were less likely to have a person or place they felt they could contact when they needed information or advice about their child's condition. Parents who indicated such a personal contact most often mentioned general practitioners, rather than professionals with specialist training in mental health care. This finding is consistent with studies that have revealed that most parents perceive general practitioners as a helpful resource for children with mental health problems [35], even though not all of these health professionals might be sufficiently trained to detect and treat mental health problems [14]. Lastly, this finding might have been due to the greater accessibility of general practitioners than mental health professionals [56].

That CSHCN with mental and physical health problems did mostly not significantly differ regarding not receiving needed care contradicts the assumption that the treatment gap is especially pronounced for mental health conditions. Furthermore, the percentage of children with mental health problems who did not receive needed care (between 0.7% and 13.8%) was much smaller than in other studies

[6], which may, among other things, be attributable to methodological differences. In the current survey, parents were asked if their child needed a particular health service and, if so, whether the child received it. In this case, some parents may not have recognized an actual need. This contrasts with several other studies in which it was assumed that a need for health services was present whenever a child suffered from a mental health problem. The lack of recognition of any actual need may have been greater for mental than for physical health problems, due to the lower level of health literacy among parents and their belief that mental health conditions will improve without treatment [42]. Relatively low rates of unmet needs in the present sample may also have been due to it being mandatory to have health insurance in Switzerland; as such, most health services are covered. In contrast, the percentage not receiving needed care might be larger in countries with less comprehensive health insurance coverage. In the United States, for instance, nearly 80% of youth ages 6 to 17 who were in need of mental health services (i.e., children who did not receive any mental health services in the past 12 months, despite exceeding a cutoff point on a mental health screening measurement) did not receive mental health care, and this rate was even greater among uninsured children [57].

That more parents of CSHCN with mental health problems were dissatisfied with the care of their child might, among other things, have been due to the limited expertise of the treating health professional (see above) and the subsequent suboptimal treatment course and outcome, as well as due to the parents' feeling that the treating professionals either did not exhibit enough interest [34] or displayed stigmatizing attitudes towards the child and his/her family [11].

Health literacy

Parents of CSHCN with mental health conditions reported lower health literacy than parents of CSHCN with physical health problems, possibly because mental health problems are often less visible (particularly internalizing problems) and because the underlying mechanisms of such conditions might be more difficult to understand. Furthermore, women (more precisely: mothers) and people with a higher level of education reported better health literacy, which confirms earlier findings (e.g.,

[23,24,37,42-46] or [43,44,46-49], respectively). Lastly, not receiving needed care was associated with lower health literacy. A bidirectional association is assumed in this regard: better health literacy supports the help-seeking process of an individual with a particular health condition, or in our case help-seeking for one's child (e.g., health literacy might positively affect communication with health professionals and thereby facilitate receiving appropriate care; [19]). Seeking help might, in turn, further increase knowledge about a particular health condition and its treatment [37,58,59], through exchanges with professionals.

In the present study, parents of CSHCN with mental health problems reported lower health literacy than parents of CSHCN with physical health conditions, regardless of the type of mental health problem. That this difference was not statistically significant for children with internalizing problems might have been due to the small size of this group. Other authors have also reported that health literacy varies as a function of the mental health condition. The results described by Pescosolido et al. [42], for instance, indicate that health literacy is greater for depression than for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders. However, vignettes were used in the afore-mentioned study, which limits its comparability to our findings.

That parents of children with problems of speech/language reported the lowest health literacy in the present study is attributable neither to the high severity of these conditions nor the presence of co-morbid problems (results not shown). It is, however, possible that exchanges between treating professionals and parents about the speech/language problems of their child were suboptimal, leaving the parents with low health literacy. Conversely, this finding might have occurred because the CSHCN Screener was relatively more sensitive to detecting speech/language problems (because most of these children receive treatment) than other conditions (e.g., internalizing problems). If this was the case, parents of children with speech/language problems would have been included even if they had poor knowledge about their child's condition and its treatment, whereas only parents with relatively high literacy would have been included if their child, for instance, suffered from internalizing problems.

Study limitations

The following study limitations must be considered. First, the *need for particular health services* or *functional limitations*, as prompted in the CSHCN Screener, might not have been recognized by some parents. This would have led to a negative screening result and subsequently to exclusion of a child (because only CSHCN were included). This bias would, at least partly, explain why some mental health conditions (e.g. internalizing problems) were underrepresented in our study, while other mental health problems were relatively often mentioned (e.g., learning difficulties). The need for particular health services (see Table 3) was also surveyed for all CSHCN (i.e., for those with a positive screening result) and might not have been recognized by all parents. Second, the classification in CSHCN with mental versus those with physical health problems was based on the parents' reports about the main health problem of the child. The mentioned problem should not be mistaken as a clinical diagnosis, since it is possible that parents misinterpreted the symptoms of their child or were not able to recall the precise label of an already diagnosed health problem. We also cannot rule out the possibility that CSHCN with mental health problems concurrently suffered from physical health problems or *vice versa*. Furthermore, it sometimes might have been difficult for parents to specify a single *main* health problem of their child, since various health problems of equal importance might have co-existed. Also regarding other of the here-used indicators (e.g., co-morbid conditions), it must be considered that they reflect appraisals by the parents, which might differ from clinical assessments. Third, it is possible that some parents over- or underestimated their *knowledge about the child's health problem and its treatment*. However, the present results are consistent with earlier studies that assessed health literacy using other methods (e.g., better health literacy among women and respondents with a higher education) and, hence, seem to be valid. Nevertheless, subsequent studies need to assess health literacy more comprehensively (e.g., by including additional items). Fourth, certain variables that might have helped to explain the findings of the present study, such as experiencing stigmatizing attitudes, were not assessed. Fifth, only answers from one respondent were included. As demonstrated previously [40], the perspective of parents might be more negative than those of their children (especially for CSHCN with mental health problems). Subsequent studies should therefore also include variables that might influence the parents' reports (e.g., their own mental health), as well as

information from other informants. Sixth, additional analyses showed that non-Swiss parents and people with a low educational level were underrepresented in our sample. Lastly, our study was cross-sectional, which restricts causal inferences.

IMPLICATIONS

In our sample, mental health conditions were described by parents as being more severe and as having a larger impact upon families than physical health problems. Even though the percentage of children with mental or physical health problems who did not receive needed care was relatively small, this group warrants further attention. Likewise, it is necessary to clarify why parents of children with mental health conditions were less satisfied with the care their child received. Lastly, our results indicate that health literacy needs to be improved among parents of children with chronic health problems, especially among 1) parents of children with mental health conditions; 2) caregivers with a low educational background; and 3) fathers. A parent's knowledge about their child's mental health condition and its treatment could, for instance, be ameliorated via general practitioners, who are often consulted if the parent has a question or needs advice. However, since general practitioners' expertise in mental health problems might be suboptimal [14], this endeavor would only be successful if these health professionals are accordingly trained [60].

Acknowledgements: The survey was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (325130_125486) and the Swiss School of Public Health plus. Michelle Dey is supported by a fellowship (P2ZHP1_148710) from the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Sawyer SM, Drew S, Yeo MS, Britto MT (2007) Adolescents with a chronic condition: challenges living, challenges treating. *Lancet* 369 (9571):1481-1489
2. Suris JC, Michaud PA, Viner R (2004) The adolescent with a chronic condition. Part I: developmental issues. *Arch Dis Child* 89 (10):938-942. doi: 10.1136/Adc.2003.045369
3. Costello EJ, Egger H, Angold A (2005) 10-year research update review: the epidemiology of child and adolescent psychiatric disorders: I. Methods and public health burden. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 44 (10):972-986. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000172552.041596.6f
4. de Girolamo G, Dagani J, Purcell R, Cocchi A, McGorry PD (2012) Age of onset of mental disorders and use of mental health services: needs, opportunities and obstacles. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* 21 (1):47-57
5. Kessler RC, Amminger GP, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, Lee S, Ustun TB (2007) Age of onset of mental disorders: a review of recent literature. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 20 (4):359-364
6. Lambert M, Bock T, Naber D, Lowe B, Schulte-Markwort M, Schafer I, Gumz A, Degkwitz P, Schulte B, König HH, Konnopka A, Bauer M, Bechdorf A, Correll C, Juckel G, Klosterkötter J, Leopold K, Pfennig A, Karow A (2013) [Mental health of children, adolescents and young adults - part 1: prevalence, illness persistence, adversities, service use, treatment delay and consequences]. *Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr* 81 (11):614-627. doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1355843
7. Patel V, Flisher AJ, Hetrick S, McGorry P (2007) Adolescent Health 3 - mental health of young people: a global public-health challenge. *Lancet* 369 (9569):1302-1313. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60368-7
8. Gore FM, Bloem PJ, Patton GC, Ferguson J, Joseph V, Coffey C, Sawyer SM, Mathers CD (2011) Global burden of disease in young people aged 10-24 years: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 377 (9783):2093-2102. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60512-6
9. Dey M, Landolt MA, Mohler-Kuo M (2012) Health-related quality of life of children with mental disorders: a systematic review. *Qual Life Res*. doi: 10.1007/s11136-012-0109-7
10. WHO (2003) Investing in mental health. WHO, Geneva
11. Hinshaw SP (2005) The stigmatization of mental illness in children and parents: developmental issues, family concerns, and research needs. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 46 (7):714-734. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2005.01456.x
12. Moses T (2010) Exploring parents' self-blame in relation to adolescents' mental disorders. *Fam Relat* 59 (2):103-120
13. Sales E (2003) Family burden and quality of life. *Qual Life Res* 12:33-41. doi: 10.1023/A:1023513218433
14. Garralda E (2001) Child and adolescent psychiatry in general practice. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 35 (3):308-314. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1614.2001.00904.x
15. Narring F, Tschumper A, Inderwildi Bonivento L, Jeannin A, Addor V, Bütikofer A, Suris JC, Diserens C, Alsaker F, Michaud PA (2004) Gesundheit und Lebensstil 16- bis 20-Jähriger in der Schweiz (2002). SMASH 2002: Swiss Multicenter Adolescent Survey on Health 2002. IUMSP, Lausanne
16. Nielsen-Bohlman L, Panzer AM, Kindig DA (2004) Health literacy: a prescription to end confusion. The National Academies Press, Washington
17. Jorm AF, Korten AE, Jacomb PA, Christensen H, Rodgers B, Pollitt P (1997) "Mental health literacy": A survey of the public's ability to recognise mental disorders and their beliefs about the effectiveness of treatment. *Med J Aust* 166 (4):182-186

18. Angermeyer MC, Dietrich S (2006) Public beliefs about and attitudes towards people with mental illness: a review of population studies. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 113 (3):163-179. doi: 10.1111/J.1600-0447.2005.00699.X
19. Jorm AF (2000) Mental health literacy - public knowledge and beliefs about mental disorders. *Br J Psychiatry* 177:396-401. doi: 10.1192/Bjp.177.5.396
20. Jorm AF, Barney LJ, Christensen H, Highet NJ, Kelly CM, Kitchener BA (2006) Research on mental health literacy: what we know and what we still need to know. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 40 (1):3-5. doi: 10.1080/J.1440-1614.2006.01734.X
21. Jorm AF (2011) Mental health literacy: empowering the community to take action for better mental health. *Am Psychol* 67 (3):231-243. doi: 2011-24866-001 [pii]
22. Kelly CM, Jorm AF, Wright A (2007) Improving mental health literacy as a strategy to facilitate early intervention for mental disorders. *Med J Aust* 187 (7):S26-S30
23. Burns JR, Rapee RM (2006) Adolescent mental health literacy: young people's knowledge of depression and help seeking. *J Adolesc* 29 (2):225-239. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2005.05.004
24. Cotton SM, Wright A, Harris MG, Jorm AF, McGorry PD (2006) Influence of gender on mental health literacy in young Australians. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 40 (9):790-796. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1614.2006.01885.x
25. Hess SG, Cox TS, Gonzales LC, Kastelic EA, Mink SP, Rose LE, Swartz KL (2004) A survey of adolescents' knowledge about depression. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs* 18 (6):228-234
26. Jorm AF, Morgan AJ, Wright A (2008) First aid strategies that are helpful to young people developing a mental disorder: beliefs of health professionals compared to young people and parents. *BMC Psychiatry* 8:42. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-8-42
27. Jorm AF, Wright A, Morgan AJ (2007) Beliefs about appropriate first aid for young people with mental disorders: findings from an Australian national survey of youth and parents. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 1 (1):61-70. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7893.2007.00012.x
28. Loureiro LM, Jorm AF, Mendes AC, Santos JC, Ferreira RO, Pedreiro AT (2013) Mental health literacy about depression: a survey of portuguese youth. *BMC Psychiatry* 13 (1):129. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-13-129
29. Melas PA, Tartani E, Forsner T, Edhborg M, Forsell Y (2013) Mental health literacy about depression and schizophrenia among adolescents in Sweden. *Eur Psychiatry* 28 (7):404-411. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2013.02.002
30. Olsson DP, Kennedy MG (2010) Mental health literacy among young people in a small US town: recognition of disorders and hypothetical helping responses. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 4 (4):291-298. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7893.2010.00196.x
31. Reavley NJ, Jorm AF (2011) Young people's recognition of mental disorders and beliefs about treatment and outcome: findings from an Australian national survey. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 45 (10):890-898. doi: 10.3109/00048674.2011.614215
32. Wright A, Harris MG, Wiggers JH, Jorm AF, Cotton SM, Harrigan SM, Hurworth RE, McGorry PD (2005) Recognition of depression and psychosis by young Australians and their beliefs about treatment. *Med J Aust* 183 (1):18-23
33. Yap MB, Wright A, Jorm AF (2011) First aid actions taken by young people for mental health problems in a close friend or family member: findings from an Australian national survey of youth. *Psychiatry Res* 188 (1):123-128. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2011.01.014
34. Concannon PE, Tang YP (2005) Management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a parental perspective. *J Paediatr Child Health* 41 (12):625-630. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1754.2005.00771.x

35. Jorm AF, Wright A (2007) Beliefs of young people and their parents about the effectiveness of interventions for mental disorders. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 41 (8):656-666. doi: 10.1080/00048670701449179
36. Jorm AF, Wright A, Morgan AJ (2007) Where to seek help for a mental disorder? National survey of the beliefs of Australian youth and their parents. *Med J Aust* 187 (10):556-560
37. Wright A, Jorm AF (2009) Labels used by young people to describe mental disorders: factors associated with their development. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 43 (10):946-955. doi: 10.1080/00048670903179129
38. Mohler-Kuo M, Jann B, Dey M, Zellweger U (2011) A recruitment method to obtain community samples of children for survey research in Switzerland. *Int J Public Health* 56 (3):353-356
39. Dey M, Mohler-Kuo M, Landolt MA (2012) Health-related quality of life among children with mental health problems: a population-based approach. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 10 (1):73-80. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-10-73
40. Dey M, Landolt MA, Mohler-Kuo M (2013) Assessing parent-child agreement in health-related quality of life among three health status groups. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 48 (3):503-511
41. Dey M, Mohler-Kuo M (2012) An analysis of non-response in a Swiss national survey. *Int J Public Health* 58 (2) 323-326. doi: 10.1007/s00038-012-0377-6
42. Pescosolido BA, Jensen PS, Martin JK, Perry BL, Olafsdottir S, Fettes D (2008) Public knowledge and assessment of child mental health problems: findings from the National Stigma Study-Children. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 47 (3):339-349. doi: 10.1097/Chi.0b013e318160e3a0
43. Dahlberg KM, Waern M, Runeson B (2008) Mental health literacy and attitudes in a Swedish community sample - investigating the role of personal experience of mental health care. *BMC Public Health* 8. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-8
44. Darby AM, Hay PJ, Mond JM, Quirk F (2012) Community recognition and beliefs about anorexia nervosa and its treatment. *Int J Eat Disord* 45 (1):120-124. doi: 10.1002/eat.20886
45. Robles-Garcia R, Fresan A, Berlanga C, Martinez N (2013) Mental illness recognition and beliefs about adequate treatment of a patient with schizophrenia: association with gender and perception of aggressiveness-dangerousness in a community sample of Mexico City. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 59 (8):811-818. doi: 10.1177/0020764012461202
46. von Wagner C, Knight K, Steptoe A, Wardle J (2007) Functional health literacy and health-promoting behaviour in a national sample of British adults. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 61:1086-1090
47. von dem Knesebeck O, Mnich E, Daubmann A, Wegscheider K, Angermeyer MC, Lambert M, Karow A, Harter M, Kofahl C (2013) Socioeconomic status and beliefs about depression, schizophrenia and eating disorders. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 48 (5):775-782. doi: 10.1007/s00127-012-0599-1
48. Paasche-Orlow MK, Parker RM, Gazmararian JA, Nielsen-Bohlman LT, Rudd RR (2005) The prevalence of limited health literacy. *J Gen Intern Med* 20 (2):175-184. doi: 10.1111/J.1525-1497.2005.40245.X
49. Yin HS, Johnson M, Mendelsohn AL, Abrams MA, Sanders LM, Dreyer BP (2009) The health literacy of parents in the United States: a nationally representative study. *Pediatrics* 124 Suppl 3:S289-298. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-1162E
50. Development Of EC-OA (1999) Classifying educational programmes. Manual for ISCED-97 implementation in OECD countries. OECD Publications, Paris
51. Bethell CD, Read D, Stein REK, Blumberg SJ, Wells N, Newacheck PW (2002) Identifying children with special health care needs: development and evaluation of a short screening instrument. *Ambul Pediatr* 2 (1):38-48

52. WHO (1992) The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders. Clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines. WHO, Geneva
53. Battersby MW, Ask A, Reece MM, J MM, P CJ (2003) The partners in health scale: the development and psychometric properties of a generic assessment scale for chronic condition self-management. *Aust J Prim Health* 9 (3):41-52
54. Wang J, Thombs B, Schmid M (2012) The Swiss Health Literacy Survey: development and psychometric properties of a multidimensional instrument to assess competencies for health. *Health Expect*. 17(3), 396-417. doi: 10.1111/j1369-7625.2012.00766.x
55. Merikangas KR, He JP, Burstein M, Swendsen J, Avenevoli S, Case B, Georgiades K, Heaton L, Swanson S, Olfson M (2011) Service utilization for lifetime mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: results of the National Comorbidity Survey-Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 50 (1):32-45. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2010.10.006
56. Bridler R, Orosz A, Cattapan K, Stassen HH (2013) In need of psychiatric help - leave a message after the beep. *Psychopathology* 46 (3):201-205. doi: 10.1159/000341729
57. Kataoka SH, Zhang L, Wells KB (2002) Unmet need for mental health care among U.S. children: variation by ethnicity and insurance status. *Am J Psychiatry* 159 (9):1548-1555
58. Jorm AF, Christensen H, Medway J, Korten AE, Jacomb PA, Rodgers B (2000) Public belief systems about the helpfulness of interventions for depression: associations with history of depression and professional help-seeking. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 35 (5):211-219
59. Wright A, Jorm AF, Harris MG, McGorry PD (2007) What's in a name? Is accurate recognition and labelling of mental disorders by young people associated with better help-seeking and treatment preferences? *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 42 (3):244-250. doi: 10.1007/S00127-006-0156-X
60. In-Albon T, Zumsteg U, Muller D, Schneider S (2010) Mental disorders in the pediatric setting - results of a Swiss survey. *Swiss Med Wkly* 140:w13092. doi: 10.4414/smw.2010.13092

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of parents and socio-demographic characteristics and health condition of the children by their type of health problem

	Total sample	CSHCN with mental health problems	CSHCN with physical health problems	p
Total: n (%)	1260	785 (62.3)	475 (37.7)	
<i>Parent's characteristics</i>				
<i>Gender</i>				
mother: n (%)	1062 (84.3)	677 (86.2)	385 (81.1)	.014
father: n (%)	198 (15.7)	108 (13.8)	90 (18.9)	
<i>Education</i>				
low: n (%)	78 (6.2)	43 (5.5)	35 (7.4)	.077
intermediate: n (%)	780 (61.9)	504 (64.2)	276 (58.1)	
high: n (%)	402 (31.9)	238 (30.3)	164 (34.5)	
<i>Child's characteristics</i>				
<i>Gender</i>				
male: n (%)	800 (63.5)	531 (67.6)	269 (56.6)	<.001
female: n (%)	460 (36.5)	254 (32.4)	206 (43.4)	
<i>Age, years: mean (SD)</i>	11.40 (1.48)	11.35 (1.45)	11.48 (1.54)	.148

<i>Nationality</i>				
Swiss: <i>n</i> (%)	1153 (91.5)	721 (91.8)	432 (90.9)	.579
not Swiss: <i>n</i> (%)	107 (8.5)	64 (8.2)	43 (9.1)	
<i>Severity of health condition</i>				
not (at all) severe: <i>n</i> (%)	394 (31.3)	181 (23.1)	213 (44.8)	<.001
moderately severe: <i>n</i> (%)	562 (44.6)	379 (48.3)	183 (38.5)	
(very) severe: <i>n</i> (%)	304 (24.1)	225 (28.7)	79 (16.6)	
<i>Comorbid problems</i>				
no: <i>n</i> (%)	981 (77.9)	589 (75.0)	392 (82.5)	.002
yes: <i>n</i> (%)	279 (22.1)	196 (25.0)	83 (17.5)	

Note: CSHCN= children with special health care needs

Table 2: Impact of the child's health condition on parents by type of health problem

	Total sample	CSHCN with mental health problems	CSHCN with physical health problems	p
Total: n (%)	1260 (100)	785 (62.3)	475 (37.7)	
<i>Financial problems exist</i>				
no: n (%)	1068 (84.8)	646 (82.3)	422 (88.8)	.002
yes: n (%)	192 (15.2)	139 (17.7)	53 (11.2)	
<i>Additional income is needed</i>				
no: n (%)	1053 (83.6)	633 (80.6)	420 (88.4)	<.001
yes: n (%)	207 (16.4)	152 (19.4)	55 (11.6)	
<i>Changes had to be made at work</i>				
no: n (%)	1094 (86.8)	678 (86.4)	416 (87.6)	.023
reduce working hours: n (%)	112 (8.9)	80 (10.2)	32 (6.7)	
give notice: n (%)	54 (4.3)	27 (3.4)	27 (5.7)	
<i>Worries</i>				
no worries: n (%)	283 (22.5)	143 (18.2)	140 (29.5)	<.001
some worries: n (%)	768 (61.0)	489 (62.3)	279 (58.7)	

many worries: <i>n</i> (%)	209 (16.6)	153 (19.5)	56 (11.8)	
<i>Time available for themselves is limited</i>				
no: <i>n</i> (%)	1004 (79.7)	595 (75.8)	409 (86.1)	<.001
yes: <i>n</i> (%)	256 (20.3)	190 (24.2)	66 (13.9)	
<i>Interrupted family activities</i>				
(almost) never: <i>n</i> (%)	1094 (86.8)	659 (83.9)	435 (91.6)	.001
every now and again: <i>n</i> (%)	121 (9.6)	91 (11.6)	30 (6.3)	
rather/very often: <i>n</i> (%)	45 (3.6)	35 (4.5)	10 (2.1)	

Note: CSHCN= children with special health care needs

Table 3: Utilization of and satisfaction with health services by type of health problem

	Total sample	CSHCN with mental health problems	CSHCN with physical health problems	p
Total: n (%)	1260 (100)	785 (62.3)	475 (37.7)	
<i>Have contact person/institution for information/advice: n (%)</i>	1142 (90.6)	697 (88.8)	445 (93.7)	.004
physician (excluding psychiatrist) / hospital: n (%)	925 (81.0)	519 (74.5)	406 (91.2)	<.001
psychologist / psychiatrist / psychiatric service: n (%)	83 (7.3)	78 (11.2)	5 (1.1)	
teacher / specialized pedagogue: n (%)	27 (2.4)	23 (3.3)	4 (0.9)	
alternative medicine: n (%)	44 (3.9)	28 (4.0)	16 (3.6)	
relatives / friends: n (%)	28 (2.5)	19 (2.7)	9 (2.0)	
other / unspecified: n (%)	35 (3.1)	30 (4.3)	5 (1.1)	
<i>Needed a specialist (e.g., dermatologist, pulmonologist, psychiatrist: n (%)</i>	579 (46.0)	330 (42.0)	249 (52.4)	<.001
<i>Not receiving needed care: n (%)</i>	15 (2.6)	11 (3.3)	4 (1.6)	.195
<i>Needed physiotherapy, ergo therapy, occupational therapy: n</i>	287 (22.8)	168 (21.4)	119 (25.1)	.134

(%)

<i>Not receiving needed care: n (%)</i>	23 (8.0)	21 (12.5)	2 (1.7)	.001
<i>Needed speech therapy: n (%)</i>	272 (21.6)	217 (27.6)	55 (11.6)	<.001
<i>Not receiving needed care: n (%)</i>	39 (14.3)	30 (13.8)	9 (16.4)	.631
<i>Needed psychological / psychiatric treatment: n (%)</i>	288 (22.9)	255 (32.5)	33 (6.9)	<.001
<i>Not receiving needed care: n (%)</i>	26 (9.0)	22 (8.6)	4 (12.1)	.510
<i>Needed prescribed medication: n (%)</i>	605 (48.0)	290 (36.9)	315 (66.3)	<.001
<i>Not receiving needed care: n (%)</i>	3 (0.5)	2 (0.7)	1 (0.3)	.515
<i>Not satisfied with the health services that the child gets: n (%)</i>	149 (11.8)	113 (14.4)	36 (7.6)	<.001

Note: CSHCN= children with special health care needs

Table 4: Logistic regression models of lower health literacy predicted by parents’ socio-demographic characteristics, children’s health characteristics, utilization and satisfaction

less knowledge about their children’s health condition and treatment reported by parents					
	Total	<i>n (%) of less knowledgeable</i>	Crude OR [CI]	Adjusted OR [CI] ^b	Adjusted OR [CI] ^b
	sample: <i>n</i>			<u>Model 1</u> : Type of health problem	<u>Model 2</u> : Type of health problem
	(%)			(physical vs. mental)	(physical vs. <i>various</i> mental)
Total	1260 (100)	435 (34.5)			
Child’s health					
<i>Type of health problem</i>					
physical health problem	475 (37.7)	<i>118 (24.8)</i>	1.0	1.0	Only included in model 1
mental health problem	785 (62.3)	<i>317 (40.4)</i>	2.05 [1.59-2.64]***	1.92 [1.47-2.50]***	
<i>Type of health problem</i>					
physical health problem	475 (37.7)	<i>118 (24.8)</i>	1.0		1.0
mental: attention deficit/hyperactivity	299 (23.7)	<i>103 (34.4)</i>	1.59 [1.16-2.18]**	Only included in model 2	1.50 [1.08-2.09]*
mental: learning difficulties	203 (16.1)	<i>93 (45.8)</i>	2.56 [1.81-3.61]***		2.41 [1.69-3.43]***

mental: conduct problems	81 (6.4)	36 (44.4)	2.42 [1.49-3.93]***	2.10 [1.28-3.46]**
mental: speech/language problems	34 (2.7)	18 (52.9)	3.40 [1.68-6.89]***	2.72 [1.31-5.65]**
mental: internalizing problems	46 (3.7)	17 (37.0)	1.77 [0.94-3.34]	1.73 [0.91-3.29]
mental: other	122 (9.7)	50 (41.0)	2.10 [1.39-3.19]***	1.97 [1.28-3.02]**
<i>Severity of health problem</i>				
not (at all) severe	394 (31.3)	118 (29.9)		1.0
moderately severe	562 (44.6)	205 (36.5)	1.19 [0.89-1.58]	1.22 [0.91-1.63]
(very) severe	304 (24.1)	112 (36.8)	1.04 [0.74-1.46]	1.08 [0.77-1.53]
<i>Parent's characteristics</i>				
<i>Gender</i>				
mother	1062 (84.3)	359 (33.8)		1.0
father	198 (15.7)	76 (38.4)	1.57 [1.12-2.21]**	1.52 [1.08-2.13]*
<i>Education</i>				
low	78 (6.2)	31 (39.7)		1.0

intermediate	780 (61.9)	288 (36.9)	0.88 [0.54-1.43]	0.89 [0.54-1.46]
high	402 (31.9)	116 (28.9)	0.56 [0.33-0.94]*	0.56 [0.33-0.95]*
<i>Utilization / Satisfaction with care</i>				
<i>contact person/institution for information/advice</i>				
yes	1142 (90.6)	384 (33.6)	1.0	1.0
no	118 (9.4)	51 (43.2)	1.29 [0.86-1.92]	1.25 [0.83-1.87]
<i>Not receiving needed care^a</i>				
no	1172 (93.0)	386 (32.9)	1.0	1.0
yes	88 (7.0)	49 (55.7)	2.20 [1.38-3.52]***	2.10 [1.31-3.37]**
<i>Satisfaction with the health services that the child gets</i>				
yes	1111 (88.2)	370 (33.3)	1.0	1.0
no	149 (11.8)	65 (43.6)	1.28 [0.88-1.85]	1.30 [0.90-1.89]

Note: CI = 95% confidence interval; CSHCN= children with special health care need; * = $p \leq .05$; ** = $p \leq .01$; *** = $p \leq .001$: ^a includes not receiving needed 1) care from a specialist (e.g., dermatologist, pulmonologist, psychiatrist); or 2) physiotherapy, ergo therapy, occupational therapy; or 3) physiotherapy, ergo therapy, occupational therapy; or 4) psychological / psychiatric treatment; or 5) prescribed medication; ^b all listed variables included in the model